

# Study on Application of Factor Analysis in Regional Environmental Assessment

Ping Xiao

Hunan University of Humanities, Science and Technology, China

applefly13@126.com

---

## Abstract

Environmental problems have become a research hotspot, and environmental quality evaluation is the premise and foundation of environmental research. In this paper, panel data of all provinces and regions in China in 2017 were selected, and seven major environmental pollution detection indexes were selected. Comprehensive indexes of environmental pollution were constructed through factor analysis, and three factors were extracted from the seven indicators. Then, the total score of factors of all provinces and regions was obtained, and the environmental pollution degree of all provinces and regions was comprehensively ranked. The results show that the provinces with less pollution are hainan, Tibet, ningxia, yunnan and qinghai. The most polluted areas are shandong, hebei, jiangsu and henan. This conclusion is helpful for China's environmental management departments to take effective measures to improve environmental pollution situation in different regions.

## Keywords

Factor analysis, environmental quality, environmental pollution.

---

## 1. Introduction

Since the reform and opening up, China's economy has experienced rapid growth in the past 40 years. In the take-off stage of the economy, the labor-intensive and resource-intensive economic growth model has led to the excessive use of resources, causes the increase of pollution emissions and the decline of environmental quality. The economic development in the eastern and central and western regions of China is unbalanced, and the environmental pollution levels in various provinces and regions are not the same. Environmental pollution detection and evaluation is the foundation of environmental protection. The environmental pollution detection indexes include air pollution, water pollution and solid waste pollution. These indicators provide abundant information for environmental pollution situation in different regions. Environmental quality assessment is the premise and foundation of environmental research. Quantitative study of environmental quality by using statistical methods is conducive to the authenticity of evaluation results.

Multivariate large sample studies provide abundant information, but in most cases, due to the possible correlation between many variables, the complexity of the problem analysis is increased and the analysis is inconvenient. If each indicator is analyzed separately, the analysis may be isolated and cannot be comprehensively analyzed. Blindly reducing indicators will lose a lot of information and easily lead to wrong conclusions. Therefore, it is necessary to find a reasonable method to reduce the analysis index while minimizing the loss of information contained in the original index and make a comprehensive analysis of the collected data. Since there is a certain correlation between variables, less comprehensive indicators can be used to synthesize all kinds of information in each variable, which will make the research easier. In this paper, panel data of all provinces and regions in China in

2017 were selected, and seven major environmental pollution detection indexes were selected. Comprehensive indexes of environmental pollution were constructed through factor analysis, and three factors were extracted from the seven indicators. Then, the total score of factors of all provinces and regions was obtained, and the environmental pollution degree of all provinces and regions was comprehensively ranked.

## 2. Empirical analysis

Data construction factor analysis of 31 provinces and regions in China in 2017 was selected. Levels of air pollution as measured by indicators of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>2</sub>), smoke dust and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Solid waste (solid) and desertification (desert) measures the geological environment, wastewater emissions (wastewater) to measure the degree of water pollution.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

	The average	The standard deviation	Numbers
wastewater	229385.5806	194225.72374	31
S02	35.5765	25.08926	31
NO2	44.9774	30.07389	31
dust	32.6023	26.65016	31
solid	9974.5274	8589.50206	31
desert	5095435.7732	15343836.65831	31
PM2.5	52.8626	17.22187	31

Table 2. KMO and Bartlett test

KMO statistics	.664
chi-square	181.917
Bartlett test	df
	21
significant	.000

It can be seen from table 2.2 that KMO statistic =0.664>0.5, chi-square statistic of spherical test =181.917, and unilateral p=0.00<0.01, which is suitable for factor analysis.

The first component of the characteristic is 3.964, the second component of the characteristic value is 1.253, the eigenvalues of the former two factors are greater than 1. The percentage of variance components are listed the percentage of the total variance explained variance, which is the sum of the various factors of eigenvalue percentage, the first factor characteristic value accounts for 56.63% of the total characteristic value, the second factor characteristic value accounted for 17.903% of total eigenvalues. "Accumulative %" from top to bottom is the cumulative percentage of factor variance as a percentage of total variance. The sum of the eigenvalues of the first two factors accounted for 74.534% of the total variance. The first three factors explained 74.534% variation of the original 7 variables.

Table 3. Total variance decomposition

component	Initial eigenvalue			Extract the sum of squares of loads			Sum of squares of rotational loads		
	total	Percent variance	The cumulative %	total	Percent variance	The cumulative %	total	Percent variance	The cumulative %
1	3.964	56.630	56.630	3.964	56.630	56.630	3.834	54.772	54.772
2	1.253	17.903	74.534	1.253	17.903	74.534	1.383	19.762	74.534
3	.882	12.596	87.130						
4	.583	8.327	95.457						
5	.198	2.824	98.281						
6	.097	1.388	99.669						
7	.023	.331	100.000						

Extraction method: principal component analysis.

Table 4. Factor loading matrix for principal component analysis  
Rotation matrix

	component	
	F1	F2
wastewater	.297	.794
S02	.903	.119
NO2	.891	.385
dust	.962	.055
solid	.908	-.078
desert	.127	-.763
PM2.5	.609	.013

Extraction method: principal component analysis.

Rotation method: Kaiser standardized maximum variance method.

A. Rotation converges after 3 iterations.

The correlation between the three factors and each variable is fuzzy, and it is difficult to name the two factors. Therefore, in order to name the factors, rotation can be carried out to make the coefficients to divide into 0 and 1. The first factor F1 is highly correlated with variables such as sulfur dioxide, nitrogen hydride, smoke dust, and solid pollution, and is less correlated with desertification and water pollution. The second factor, F2, was highly correlated with water pollution and desertification, and negatively correlated with solid pollution and desertification. The higher the score of the first factor

(F1), the more serious the pollution of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and soot and solids. The higher the second factor F2 score, the more seriously affected by water pollution.

Table 5. Estimate the covariance matrix of the regression factor fraction  
Component scoring matrix

	component	
	1	2
wastewater	-.010	.578
SO2	.238	-.014
NO2	.203	.193
dust	.261	-.070
solid	.262	-.166
desert	.124	-.603
PM2.5	.168	-.061

Extraction method: principal component analysis.

Rotation method: Kaiser standardized maximum variance method.

Component scoring.

According to the factor score coefficient and the standardized value of the original variable, the score of each factor of each observation can be calculated, and the observation can be further analyzed accordingly.

$$F1 = -0.01 * wastewater + 0.238SO2 + 0.203NO2 + 0.261dust + 0.262solid + 0.124desert + 0.168PM2.5$$

$$F2 = 0.578 * wastewater - 0.014SO2 + 0.193NO2 - 0.070dust - 0.166solid - 0.6031desert - 0.0616PM2.5$$

According to the factor formula calculating the total score of inter-provincial environmental quality, the higher the score of environment pollution is more serious, from the table, the shandong, hebei, jiangsu, henan and other places of factor, total score is higher, this is because the provinces as part of the country of the old industrial base, have many industrial polluting enterprises, some provinces of township enterprises has developed rapidly, because of the township enterprise's environmental protection consciousness is not strong, lack of environmental pollution control measures, these provinces scored higher on the industrial air pollution factor. The top 10 provinces with less pollution are hainan, Tibet, ningxia, yunnan, qinghai, guizhou, fujian, guangxi, jilin and gansu. Some regions are the undeveloped western regions, while some are economically developed regions that mainly focus on financial services, shipping, communications and light industrial manufacturing. Industrial air pollution is relatively light.

Table 6. Factor score table of each province

region	F1	F2	The total score
Hai nan	-1.17632	-1.02672	-2.20304
Tibet	-1.382	-0.7291	-2.1111
Ningxia	-1.07994	-0.60992	-1.68986
Yunnan	0.74257	-2.2314	-1.48883
Qinghai	-1.08343	-0.24206	-1.32549

Guizhou	0.37223	-1.62317	-1.25094
Fujian	0.11716	-1.21487	-1.09771
Guangxi	-0.07758	-0.89014	-0.96772
Jilin	-0.72838	-0.1994	-0.92778
Gansu	-0.82168	0.00718	-0.8145
Chongqing	-0.61743	-0.13336	-0.75079
Shanghai	-0.8308	0.20903	-0.62177
Jiangxi	0.13235	-0.6647	-0.53235
Tianjing	-1.57463	1.17805	-0.39658
Heilongjiang	-0.29545	-0.0151	-0.31055
Neimenggu	1.40696	-1.68384	-0.27688
Hubei	-0.12347	0.29087	0.1674
Hunan	0.5387	-0.33293	0.20577
Shanxi	-0.58074	0.79197	0.21123
Beijing	-1.40453	1.66394	0.25941
Sichuan	0.44367	-0.06277	0.3809
Anhui	-0.02474	0.46208	0.43734
Xingjiang	-0.37556	0.84944	0.47388
Liaoning	0.45954	0.14994	0.60948
Zhejiang	0.12191	0.4988	0.62071
Shanxi	0.47061	0.32718	0.79779
Guangdong	1.85094	-0.40036	1.45058
Henan	0.11321	1.70932	1.82253
Jiangsu	1.83467	0.93376	2.76843
Hebei	1.44752	1.43257	2.88009
Shandong	2.12463	1.5557	3.68033

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper, environmental measurement indexes of 31 provinces and regions in 2017 were selected to build a statistical model to evaluate environmental quality, and two common factors were extracted by factor analysis to represent seven environmental factors, including air, water, solid waste, garbage and desertification. The results show that the environmental quality is the best in the west, the second best in the east and the worst in the middle. The top 10 provinces with less pollution are hainan, Tibet, ningxia, yunnan, qinghai, guizhou, fujian, guangxi, jilin and gansu. Some areas are undeveloped western regions, while others are in financial services, shipping, communications and light industrial manufacturing.

### References

- [1] Li zhengda, yuan xiaoling, Yang wan. Status quo, confusion and prospect of environmental quality evaluation research. Resource science.2014 (1).
- [2] Yuan xiaoling, li zhengda, liu bolong. Dynamic comprehensive evaluation of regional environmental quality in China -- based on the perspective of pollution discharge. Resources and environment of the Yangtze river basin.2013 (1).
- [3] Huang tongcheng, li shoude, gu mengdi. Application of factor analysis in environmental pollution detection and evaluation. Industrial engineering and management.2007 (5).